

Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2010 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings (Post-Meeting Edition).
Vol 28, No 15_suppl (May 20 Supplement), 2010: 2559
© 2010 American Society of Clinical Oncology

NCCAM/NCI phase I study of mistletoe extract and gemcitabine in patients with advanced solid tumors.

P. J. **Mansky**, D. B. Wallerstedt, T. Sannes, J. Stagl, L. Johnson, M. R. Blackman, J. L. Grem, S. M. Swain and B. P. Monahan

Bellin Health, Green Bay, WI; National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; Research Service, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Washington, DC; University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE; Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC; The Attending Physician, Congress of the United States, Washington, DC

This Article

- ▶ [Alert me when this article is cited](#)
- ▶ [Alert me if a correction is posted](#)

Services

- ▶ [Email this article to a friend](#)
- ▶ [Similar articles in this journal](#)
- ▶ [Download to citation manager](#)
- ▶ [Rights & Permissions](#)

Google Scholar

- ▶ [Articles by Mansky, P. J.](#)
- ▶ [Articles by Monahan, B. P.](#)

PubMed

- ▶ [Articles by Mansky, P. J.](#)
- ▶ [Articles by Monahan, B. P.](#)

Abstract

2559

Background: European mistletoe (*viscum album* L.) extracts (EMEX) are used for cancer treatment in Europe. Clinical efficacy remains controversial. Controlled safety and toxicity data are lacking. Objectives of this novel phase I dose-escalation study of an EMEX/gemcitabine (GEM) combination were 1) safety, toxicity, and MTD in patients with advanced solid cancers (ASC), 2) neutrophil count recovery, 3) formation of mistletoe lectin antibodies (ML ab), 4) plasma concentrations of IL-6, IL-12, IFN γ , and TNF- α , 5) clinical response.

Methods: ASC patients (n=44, breast 12, pancreas 10, colorectal 17, NSCLC 5, f:m=21:23, average age 55 years) received escalating doses of EMEX (HELIXOR A, Helixor GmbH, Rosenfeld, Germany) during stage 1 (20-250 mg s.c. daily) and increasing doses of GEM during stage 2 (750 - 1680 mg/m² over 30 minutes i.v. on day 1 and 8, 3-week cycle) for 3 cycles. GEM plasma concentrations (C_p, baseline and week 8 (stable EMEX dose for 7 days) were determined during stage 1 by HPLC with UV detection. Plasma cytokine and MLab concentrations were measured by ELISA.


Results: Five dose-limiting toxicities were observed: grade 4 neutropenia (2), grade 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 cellulitis, and grade 4 acute renal failure. GEM 1380 mg/m² and EMEX 250 mg were the MTD. Of 44 patients 24 developed Non-neutropenic fever and flu-like syndrome. GEM pharmacokinetics were unaffected by EMEX. All patients developed ML3 IgG antibodies. Plasma cytokine concentrations were minimally affected. ANC values showed a trend to increase between baseline and C2 in stage I. Partial response and stable disease were found in 6% and 42% of patients, respectively. All partial responses occurred in patients with pancreatic cancer. Median survival was 190 days. Compliance with EMEX injections varied from 78.6-100%.

Conclusions: The EMEX/GEM combination demonstrated limited toxicity, no alterations of GEM C_p during infusion of EMEX, clinical benefit in 48% of patients, good tolerability and excellent EMEX compliance. Addition of EMEX may allow for use of higher doses of GEM and increase the ANC nadir. No effects on the measured cytokines were observed. The long-term utility, safety, and tolerability of EMEX plus GEM warrant further study.

No significant financial relationships to disclose.

[Abstract presentation from the 2010 ASCO Annual Meeting](#)

Copyright © 2010 by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Online ISSN: 1527-7755. Print ISSN: 0732-183X
[Terms and Conditions of Use](#)

 HighWire Press™ assists in the publication of JCO Online